

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

WENDY PERRY,
Plaintiff

v.

FRONTIER PHARMACY, INC. and
THOMAS TOALE,
Defendants

No: 137 - 2005 ERIE

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

**REPLY IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR DISMISSAL PURSUANT
TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(b)(6) AND 12(e)**

AND NOW, comes the Plaintiff, Wendy Perry (“Perry”), by and through her attorneys, Quinn Buseck Leemhuis Toohey & Kroto, Inc., and files the within Reply to Motion for Dismissal filed by the Defendants, Frontier Pharmacy, Inc. (“Frontier”) and Thomas Toale (“Toale”), of which the following is a statement:

1. Admitted.
2. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 2 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendants are denied.
3. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 3 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendant’s are denied.
4. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 4 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendant’s are denied.

5. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 5 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendant's are denied.

6. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 6 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendant's are denied.

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM

7. Denied. Specifically, the Plaintiff denies that it has brought a Title VII action against any individual Defendant and further, individual liability is permitted under the Pennsylvania Human Relation Act. Defendants have misstated the law as set forth at 43 P.S. §955(e), which expressly provides for individual liability.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests this honorable court deny Defendants' motions under rule 12(b)(6) and 12(e).

RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

8. Denied. The Plaintiff's complaint meets the notice pleading requirement established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. Admitted in part. The allegations in paragraph 9 are statements regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. All other statements of Defendant's are denied.

10. Denied. The allegations in paragraph 10 are statement regarding a pleading document which speaks for itself. In further answer thereto the Plaintiff has met the burden established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for notice pleadings.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests this honorable court deny Defendants' motions under rule 12(b)(6) and 12(e).

Respectfully submitted,

QUINN BUSECK LEEMHUIS TOOHEY
& KROTO, INC.

By: _____
Arthur D. Martinucci
Pa. I.D. No. 63699
2222 West Grandview Blvd.
Erie, PA 16506
814.833.2222
Counsel for the Plaintiff,
Wendy Perry

Document #258791, v1